| Measure/Benchmark | 2017/2018 | 2018/2019* | 2019/2020 | 2020/2021 |
|------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|--------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| BAN 632: Faculty chose | , , | | Closing the | Refining AOL |
| | improvement | Spring 2019 - [n = 20]: 86% of students met benchmark | loop | system |
| | actions | Closing the Loop: | discussions | |
| using the department | | -Learning Objective 1A was measured the first time in spring 2016 and the second time in spring | | |
| developed rubric. | | 2019. The past improvement actions are effective. | | |
| | | -It appears no improvement in Traits 3 and 4. We believe it is caused by the randomness (n = 20 in | | |
| Current Benchmark: | | spring 2019 and n = 15 in spring 2016; different activities used for assessment) and the significant | | |
| 70% of students will | | adjustments both faculty member and students must make for Quarter-to-Semester conversion | | |
| meet expectations on | | which took place in fall 2018. | | |
| overall rubric score. | | Improvement Actions: | | |
| | | -Revise PLO 1 assessment rubric and methods for better measurability, comparability and | | |
| | | consistency. | | |
| | | -Make BAN 601 required and more Python focused. | | |
| | | -Spend more lecture hours and more assignments on programming languages and logics. | | |
| | | -Make BAN 632 Python-driven. | | |
| | | -Supplemental Instruction Model. | | |
| | | | | |

BAN 693: Faculty chose individual student assignment to assess using the department developed rubric.

Current Benchmark:

| BAN 693: Faculty chose | Implementing | Assessment Results: | Closing the | Refining AOL |
|------------------------|--------------|---|-------------|--------------|
| individual student | improvement | Spring 2019 - [n = 15]: 90% of students met benchmark | loop | system |
| assignment to assess | actions | Closing the Loop: | discussions | |
| using the department | | -The past improvement actions are very effective. Compared with 2017, more students exceeded | | |
| developed rubric. | | expectation and less students needed improvement across all four traits. | | |
| | | -The CSU system has a University Writing Skill Requirement (UWSR) for all students, both | | |
| Current Benchmark: | | undergrad and graduate. Historically however, many MSBA students wait until the end of their | | |
| 70% of students will | | study to meet UWSR. The program has started to make UWSR a prerequisite for capstone project | | |
| meet expectations on | | and enforce it more strictly. In addition, more courses place higher emphasis on writing. The | | |
| overall rubric score. | | assessment results reflect the impact and effectiveness of past improvement actions. | | |
| | | Improvement Actions: | | |
| | | -Incorporate University Writing Skill Requirement as prerequisite to BAN 693 CapstoneRevise. | | |
| | | -Revise PLO 4 assessment rubric and method for better measurability, comparability and consistency. | | |
| | | -Emphasis on writing. Needs to incorporate writing component in MSBA courses in a more | | |
| | | systematic way. | | |
| | | -Explore the possibility to use an existing or new MSBA course for meeting UWSR. | | |
| Footnotes: | | | | |